

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/916,453		<b>Applicant(s)</b> GREEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Fred I. Ehichioya		<b>Art Unit</b> 2162	

**All Participants:**

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(2) John J. Ignatowski (Reg. No. 36,555).

**Date of Interview:** 6 December 2005

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
 Claims discussed:  
 1, 3, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 17, 19 and 20  
  
 Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_


(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**  
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Examiner proposed to applicants' representative (Mr. Ignatowski) to combine claim 10 to claim 1 and also claims 15, 16, 17 and 19 to independent claims 11 and 20 respectively for a possible allowance. Mr. Ignatowski accepted examiner's proposition.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**SHAHID ALAM**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)